

Police Propaganda- Just doing their duty or failed due diligence?

8/11/2020- Formal Complaint from WTU Community Group against Essex Police Misconduct in Public Office through Misrepresentations to mislead the British Public to prop up prohibitionist policies and ideology

1. We The Undersigned Have a Human Sovereign Right to Cannabis (WTU) hereby raise formal complaint against the Press Officer/s responsible for the attached publication, published on Essex Police Facebook page "Ask your body what it feels about cannabis" <https://www.facebook.com/EPColchester/posts/3847900791889203>
2. **Under the FOI Act, WTU formally request copies of all of the research and evidence utilised in the creation of the above publication and their sources within 14 days of receiving this complaint. WTU also formally request the name, rank and number of the Press Officer/s responsible in order to levy these charges directly to them as individuals to be held to account for their Acts of Misinformation.**
3. On Saturday 7th November 2020 at 630pm The Press Officer of Essex Police Press Office did commit an act of wanton misinformation and misrepresentations to the British Public, seemingly to support the fraudulent Government political policy of the so called "War on Cannabis", imposed through the enforcement and misapplication of the Misuse of Drugs Act 1971.
4. As stated by the Police Federation Publication: *"The Office of Constable The bedrock of modern-day British policing"*
5. *"Every constable is an independent legal entity, the public's guarantee of impartiality. Officers of the crown operate independent of undue influence, interference and with a personal responsibility which requires a unique type of person and commitment."*
6. Furthermore, this publication demonstrates the officers' failed due-diligence, fairness, impartiality, and integrity; qualities sworn to and identified by the Police Attestation as key qualities that officers must demonstrate in all their duties:

7. *“I do solemnly and sincerely declare and affirm that I will well and truly serve the Queen in the office of constable, **with fairness, integrity, diligence and impartiality, upholding fundamental human rights and according equal respect to all people;** and that I will, to the **best of my power**, cause the **peace to be kept and preserved and prevent all offences against people and property;** and that while I continue to hold the said office I **will to the best of my skill and knowledge discharge all the duties thereof faithfully according to law.**”*
8. Moreover, the actions of the Press Officer/s are also failing to uphold and preserve the fundamental human rights to the freedom of consciousness, private beliefs and practises as defined by UK, EU and UN legislation, insofar as no harm is caused to another.
9. Whilst the publication in question may have contained some facts about the effects of cannabis upon the human body, such as blood shot eyes, increased heart rate or bronchial irritation, the publishers have failed to provide a fully balanced, fair and objective range of information regarding the full effects of cannabis upon human physiology.
10. This publication demonstrates the Press Officer/s have failed in their due diligence, fairness, impartiality and integrity to provide balanced, fair, objective, and evidenced information regarding the full effects of cannabis upon the body.
11. It also raises the question why the Police have chosen to take responsibility of delivering health messages with the threats of incarceration for non-compliance with the contract of the Act (MODA 1971)
12. For example, the press officers have completely failed to highlight that all humans have an endocannabinoid system, which is referred to as the “master control system” of all vertebrates’ physiological systems (creatures with a spine)
<https://www.jyi.org/2018-june/2018/6/1/the-endocannabinoid-system-our-universal-regulator>

13. The Press Officer/s also failed in their duty to inform that phytocannabinoids found in cannabis actually nourish our bodies, regulating and controlling homeostasis at a cellular level! <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4740396/>
14. The Press Officer/s also failed to highlight that many illnesses or dis-ease may be attributed to a clinical endocannabinoid deficiency syndrome as researched by Dr Ethan Russo <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5576607/>
15. The press officers have also failed to provide information regarding the nutritional and therapeutic benefits of cannabis⁽¹⁾, which actually contains all of the essential amino acids in the perfect ratio and concentration for human absorption ⁽²⁾, is highly effective in the fight against pain ⁽³⁾, seizures ⁽⁴⁾ and even causing cell apoptosis in cancer cells ⁽⁵⁾. ⁽¹⁾<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/the-british-journal-of-psychiatry/article/therapeutic-aspects-of-cannabis-and-cannabinoids/A6F35FDD2868806FD91F0F215B24736C/core-reader>
⁽²⁾ <https://www.cannabisinternational.org/about.php>
⁽³⁾ <https://www.nhs.uk/news/neurology/cannabis-for-nerve-pain-studied/>
⁽⁴⁾ <https://innp.bmj.com/content/89/7/741>
⁽⁵⁾ <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3005548/>
16. These actions by the Essex Police Press Officers constitute one of 3 types misrepresentations, all of which render any subsequent contract under the MODA 1971 (arrest or prosecution) null and void.
17. According to UK Practical Law, an untrue statement of fact or law made by Party A (or its agent) to Party B, which induces Party B to enter a contract with Party A thereby causing Party B loss. An action for misrepresentation can be brought in respect of a misrepresentation of fact or law.
18. There are three types of misrepresentation:

Fraudulent misrepresentation: where a false representation has been made knowingly, or without belief in its truth, or recklessly as to its truth.

Negligent misrepresentation: a representation made carelessly and in breach of duty owed by Party A to Party B to take reasonable care that the representation is accurate.

If no "special relationship" exists, there may be a misrepresentation under section 2(1) of the Misrepresentation Act 1967 where a statement is made carelessly or without reasonable grounds for believing its truth.

Innocent misrepresentation: a representation that is neither fraudulent nor negligent.

19. The remedies for misrepresentation are [rescission](#) and/or damages. For fraudulent and negligent misrepresentation, the [claimant](#) may claim rescission and damages. For innocent misrepresentation, the court has a discretion to award damages in lieu of rescission; the court cannot award both (see section 2(2) of the Misrepresentation Act 1967). For more information, see [Practice note, Misrepresentation](#).

[https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/9-107-6848?transitionType=Default&contextData=\(sc.Default\)&firstPage=true](https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/9-107-6848?transitionType=Default&contextData=(sc.Default)&firstPage=true)

20. This publication created by the Press Officer/s is a piece of propaganda that fails to provide full and fair information about the effects of cannabis on the body, which renders the Police complicit with governmental potential fraud, conspiracy and terrorism offences.
21. Therefore, in the interests of maintaining public trust in the conduct of our Police Forces, and to preserve police integrity, impartiality, due-diligence, fairness and in the spirit of upholding the fundamental human rights names above, We The Undersigned do formally place ALL UK Police Officers on Notice to CEASE AND DESIST WITH MISINFORMATION AND MISREPRESENTATIONS of cannabis
22. WTU further assert that charges of Misrepresentation and Misconduct in Public Office will be raised against any and all individual officers who continue in their failed due diligence to fully inform themselves to the facts surrounding cannabis and the

prohibition thereof and to Act accordingly as sworn in their attestation and to protect and uphold fundamental human rights.

23. WTU would remind your Officers that “Just following orders” was removed as a defence under the Nuremburg Trials and as stated in the Bedrock of Policing, ALL officers are individually responsible and accountable for their actions by law.

24. In conclusion, WTU would also draw your attention to the *Seed Our Future- Evidence of no evidence* campaign, which has also served notice to all UK Regional Police Chief Constables and Crime Commissioners on the 5th November 2020 of the facts surrounding the prohibition of cannabis and the effects it has upon the human body.
<https://www.seedourfuture.co.uk/no-evidence-no-crime/>

25. Seed Our Future’s objective is to highlight the failed due diligence and misconduct of the Police in unquestioningly supporting the Government political policy and ideologically driven policy of their “War on Cannabis”, which is a fraudulent in its foundation, based on racism and greed to protect invested interests in the UK Pharmaceutical Cannabis Industry.

26. The time has arrived for the Police to decide whether to make a stand with the People for Truth, Justice and Human Rights or to be manipulated by political machinations which only seek to protect political invested interests in the British Pharmaceutical Cannabis Industry and other key industries.

27. In the time it took to write this complaint, the offending propaganda has been removed by Essex Police. Despite this removal of the evidence of Actions of Misrepresentations by Essex Police Press Officer/s We The Undersigned secured video and screen shot evidence of the original post with the now deleted URL:
<https://www.facebook.com/EPColchester/posts/3847900791889203>
28. Please clarify PRECISELY what precipitated the removal of the original post so quickly?

Yours Truly: We The Undersigned Have a Human Sovereign Right to Cannabis



Exhibit P: removed from Facebook 8/11/2020 within 15hours of being approved by the Essex Police Press Officer